

Type 1 Diabetes in the Elderly: Discussion about Two Cases Diagnosed as Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Differences in Clinical Presentation, Genetics, and Immunity Compared to Type 1 Diabetes in Young Patients

Andrey Manov*

Professor of Medicine and endocrinology in the University of Las Vegas and Touro Nevada, USA

***Corresponding author:** Andrey Manov, Professor of Medicine and endocrinology in the University of Las Vegas and Touro Nevada, USA; E-mail: <u>andrepenev@gmail.com</u>

Received: October 28, 2024; Accepted: November 09, 2024; Published: November 22, 2024

1. Introduction

Recent epidemiological has highlighted that over 50% of all new cases of type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) occur in adults, prompting an investigation into the genetic, immune, and metabolic distinctions between adult -onset and childhood-onset T1DM, though several of these differentiating factors remain poorly understood, presenting obstacles in precise diagnosis and classification [1]. According to data obtained from the United Kingdom (UK) Biobank, more than 40% of individuals with T1DM experience its onset after the age of 30, resulting in frequent misdiagnosis as type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [2]. The misclassification occurs due to the rarity of adult-onset T1DM, constituting less than 5% of diabetes cases in adulthood, leading healthcare professionals to often assume it to be T2DM [3]. Misdiagnosis carries severe implications, as illustrated in our report, where two patients suffered from diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) and compromised glucose management.

1. Case 1

A 65-year-old Caucasian female with a medical history of primary hypothyroidisms secondary to Hashimoto's thyroiditis, chronic kidney disease (CKD) stage 3b, essential hypertension, chronic hepatitis C, psoriasis, and obesity (with a BMI of 30) presented to our clinic following a recent hospitalization for DKA two weeks ago. Upon discharge from the hospital, the patient was prescribed metformin and basal insulin glargine with a diagnosis of T2DM.

Citation: Manov A. Type 1 Diabetes in the Elderly: Discussion about Two Cases Diagnosed as Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Differences in Clinical Presentation, Genetics, and Immunity Compared to Type 1 Diabetes in Young Patients. Clin Case Rep Open Access. 2024;7(4):318. ©2024 Yumed Text.

www.yumedtext.com | November-2024 | ISSN: 2582-5038 | https://dx.doi.org/10.46527/2582-5038.318

During the patient's initial visit to our clinic, her blood glucose level at home ranged between 350 mg/dL and 400 mg/dL, with HbA1c above 14%. Despite adjusting her treatment with up-titration of basal-bolus insulin and metformin for the initial diagnosis of T2DM, her HbA1c remained above 13%, and she reported non-compliance with her diet, insulin regimen, and clinic appointments, attributing her reluctance to cumbersome self-monitoring blood glucose (SMBG). Over the following year, she experienced two hospital admissions for mild and moderately severe DKA due to decompensated diabetes mellitus and pyelonephritis, respectively.

During her second visit to clinic in late 2021, the patient's frequent episodes of DKA decompensated diabetes mellitus, and the presence of primary hypothyroidism related to autoimmune Hashimoto's thyroiditis raised suspicion of T1DM, despite her overweight and high BMI. Antibody testing confirmed elevated levels of glutamic acid decarboxylase-65 (GAD-65) antibodies (>250 U/mL), islet antigen-2 (IA-2) antibodies (>350 U/mL), and zinc transporter -8 (ZnT8) of 24 U/mL, indicative autoimmune destruction of pancreatic beta cells and adult-onset T1DM. Insulin antibodies were negative, and her C-peptide level was undetectable, confirming complete autoimmune destruction of her endocrine pancreas (C-peptide <0.1 ng/mL). Primary adrenal insufficiency and autoimmune.

Polyglandular syndrome type 2 (APS-2) were also ruled out as potential contributing factors.

Upon the accurate diagnosis of T1DM, the patient's treatment plan was adjusted to include CGM for optimization of the bolus/basal insulin regimen, thereby addressing challenges related to SMBG and oral antidiabetic medications were discontinued. As a result of this intervention, a significant improvement was observed in her HbA1c levels, decreasing from over 14% to 10.9%.





2. Case 2

A 52-year-old female with a medical history of T2DM, hypothyroidism, and class III obesity (BMI 40) was admitted to the hospital due to nonspecific abdominal pain and weakness. The hospital workup revealed DKA, leading to her admission to the intensive care unit (ICU) and management with an insulin drip. Despite experiencing two previous DKA episodes in the past two to three years and having hypothyroidism with a possible autoimmune cause, T1DM was not initially considered, and the patient continued to be treated primarily for T2DM. Upon discharge, the patient was prescribed pioglitazone, metformin, and Lantus insulin.

After the discharge from the hospital, during a follow-up visit at our clinic, we explored the possibility of adult-onset TIDM, given the presence of hypothyroidism treated with levothyroxine. Tests revealed elevated levels of GAD 65 antibodies, IA-2 antibodies, and Zn-T8 antibodies, confirming the diagnosis of T1DM with barely detectable C-peptide levels demonstrating complete autoimmune destruction of the pancreatic beta cells. Additionally, the patient had anti-thyroid peroxidase antibodies (TPO) antibodies, indicating Hashimoto's thyroiditis as the autoimmune cause of her hypothyroidism. Primary adrenal insufficiency and APS-2 were ruled out.

After the correct diagnosis of T1DM, the patient's oral antidiabetic medications were discontinued, and she was primarily treated with basal-bolus insulin therapy. The insulin regimen was adjusted using real-time data from CGM. This resulted in a significant improve Hbalc levels from 13% to 7.8% ketones [4]. DKA is a severe and avoidable complication of diabetes that poses a life-threatening risk. According to the United States Diabetes Surveillance System (USDSS), there has been a notable rise in hospitalization rates for DKA, particularly among individuals under the age of 45, from 2009 to 2014 [5]. DKA is a life-threatening complication, primarily affecting individuals with T1DM, and poses a significant risk for morbidity and mortality. Moreover, DKA presents a substantial economic burden on individuals, healthcare systems, and payers [6]. Although more

commonly observed in patients with T1DM, individuals with T2DM are also at risk, especially during stressful circumstances such as trauma, surgery, or infections [6-7].

DKA leads to o over 100,000 annual hospital admissions in the United States, accounting for 4%-9% of all hospital discharge summaries in patients with TIDM, and necessitating substantial healthcare resources, with one out of every four healthcare dollars spent on direct medical care for adult patients with T1DM, highlighting the importance of effective diabetes management and education to mitigate the burden on the healthcare system [7]. A paradigm shift is necessary to raise awareness about T1DM in adults, as estimates suggest that up to 40% of individuals over 30 years old with T1DM might have been misdiagnosed with T2DM; considering the reduced life expectancy of up to eight years for T1DM compared to three years for T2DM, the clinical and research focus needs to expand to address the challenges of diagnosis and appropriate treatment for T1DM, in addition to the prevailing emphasis on T2DM prevention and treatment in adults [8]. The pathogenesis of T1DM involves T cell-mediated destruction of beta- pancreatic (B-cells), and islet-targeting autoantibodies against specific proteins in B-cells, such as IA-2, GAD-65, Zn-T8 autoantibodies serve as biomarkers of T1DM- associated autoimmunity, detectable months to years before symptom onset, allowing identification and study of at-risk individuals, with the type of autoantibody appearing first influenced by environmental triggers and genetic factors [9].

The main reasons underlying misclassification are multiple and include the lack of awareness among physicians that the onset of T1DM is not limited to children. The majority of older patients have T2DM [10]. Criteria such as BMI and metabolic syndrome suggestive of T2DM can be poor discriminators, especially as rates of obesity in the overall population are increasing [11,12]. The clinical characteristics of adult T1DM are different from those of child-onset T1DM and can resemble the presentation of T2DM, given the slower metabolic progression and risk of metabolic syndrome. Metabolic syndrome occurs in 40% of patients with adult TIDM [11,12]. Also, the prevalence of T2DM is much higher; 90%-95% of patients with diabetes mellitus are affected with T2DM vs T1DM, which affects around 5%-10% of patients [13]. Due to these bases, physicians frequently forget that reliable markers exist that can help with discrimination between TIDM and T2DM.

In this report, we presented two patients with adult-onset TIDM. Initially, both were misdiagnosed with T2DM due to their age at diagnosis and lack of weight loss or higher BML which was likely secondary to hypothyroidism. Despite receiving treatment with insulin and oral antidiabetic medications, their disease remained uncontrolled, leading to multiple hospital admissions for DKA. During their visit to our clinic, we suspected adult-onset TIDM based on the presence of hypothyroidism likely due to autoimmune etiology and explored the possibility further by checking autoimmune markers such as A-2, GAD-65 Zn-T autoantibodies, and C-peptide levels. The results were positive for GAD-65 and Zn- 78 antibodies in both patients, with one patient also having IA-2 antibodies. Neither patient had detectable C-peptide levels consistent with possible autoimmune destruction of B-cells of the pancreas which are primarily responsible for insulin synthesis and secretion. Furthermore, the patient in Case 2. who was being treated for hypothyroidism, was also found to have ants-TPO antibodies, confirming an autoimmune etiology for her hypothyroidism Following the diagnosis of adult-onset T2DM we discontinued oral antidiabetic medications and treated both patients exclusively with basal-bolus insulin. Additionally, we implemented COM to monitor their blood glucose levels continuously, leading to significant improvements in blood glucose control. The insulin regimens were adjusted based on real-time CGM data to maintain optimal glucose levels. During the follow-up period of 16 months, the

patient in Case I was noticed to have a reduction in HhAle from -14% to 8.6%, while the patient in Case 2 achieved a decrease from >14% to 7.8%. The introduction of real-time CGM also resulted in a reduction in mild and severe hypoglycemia occurrences. 1 with the time spent on the target glucose range (TIR) of 70-3 70-380 mg/dL. increasing significantly for both patients. These improvements align with t the American Diabetes Association (ADA) goals, especially in patients prone to hypoglycemia where the time spent in the target range should be above 50% [14]. Furthermore, the implementation of CGM positively imported the patient's eating habits. physical activity, and overall quantity of life. This case report emphasizes the importance of accurate diagnosis of TIDM and personalized treatment strategies to optimize diabetes management and improve patients' well-being.









Screening for Type 1 Diabetes Involves a Blood Test to Check for the Presence of Autoantibodies





Teplizumab delays the progression to type 1 DM in patients with 2 or more antibodies positive and pre-DM based on OGTT or Impaired fasting BG with 2- years!

Many Drugs Are Being Investigated for Delaying T1D Onset

Teplizumab is the first FDA-approved drug for delaying T1D onset from stage 2 $\text{T1D}^{[a]}$

Anti-thymocyte globulin is currently in a TrialNet Phase 2 trial to see if it can delay or prevent T1D^[b,c]

Other drugs have effectively preserved beta cell function but have not effectively slowed progression of stage 1 T1D to stages 2 or 3 (eg, golimumab^[d], abatacept^[e], rituximab^[e], etanercept^[f], and alefacept^[g])

a. US Food and Drug Administration. 2022. Accessed June 14, 2023. https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-drug-can-delay-onset-type-1-diabetes; b. ClinicalTrials.gov Accessed June 14, 2023. https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/cl2/showlk/CT04/291703; c. Haller MJ, et al. Dlabetes; 2019;69:1677-1076; d. Quattrin T, et al. N Engl J Med. 2020;383:2007-2017; e. Skyler, JS. Diabetes Care: 2015;39:277-1007; f. Mastandera L, et al. Dlabetes Care: 2003;27:2414-9; g. Rigby MR, et al. J Clin Invest: 2015;125:285-56.



Characteristics of Type 1 DM in Adults compare to Type 1 DM in young patients:

1. Higher incidence of HLAD3 compare to HLADR4 in Young Patients with type 1

- 2. Lower concordance with identical twins
- 3. Higher incidence of metabolic syndrome and higher BMI
- 4. Lower titers of ICA and lower number of ICA antibodies
- 5. Higher at DX C -peptide
- 6. Lower incidence of DKA
- 7. 50 % were not initially treated with Insulin
- 8. Has a similar incidence of other autoimmune conditions

9. Depending on the study prevalence above the age of 20 - 21-50% of Patients with DM type 1 are above the age of 20. Numerically higher than

below the age of 20.

TABLE 1. Genetic, immunological, and metabolic differences between childhood-onset and adult-onset type.

	Children T1DM	Adults T1DM
Age at diagnosis	Childhood	Adulthood
Identical twin concordance rate	Moderate (e.g. 38%)	Very low (e.g. 6%)
HLA-DR3/DR4	Moderate (e.g. 37%)	Low (e.g. 13%)
Protective HLA genotype (HLA-DR2)	Very low (e.g. 9%)	Low (e.g. 15%)
Autoantibodies	IAA GAD IA-2	GAD IA-2
Plasma insulin	Very low	Low

Keep in mind that GAD 65 might be found in normal people or patients with Type 2-DM and patients with Type 1 – DM can be negative for it. Measurement of 5- hour postprandial C- peptide is essential! The more ICA antibodies the patients have, the higher the likelihood of type 1-DM; the highest is in patients under age of 20.

REFERENCES

- Leslie RD, Evans-Molina C, Freund-Brown J, et al. Adult-onset type 1 diabetes: current understanding and challenges. Diabetes Care. 2021,44(11):2449-56.
- Thomas NJ, Jones SE, Weedon MN, et al. Frequency and phenotype of type 1 diabetes in the first six decades of life: a cross-sectional, genetically stratified survival analysis from UK Biobank. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2018;6(2):122-9.
- 3. Diaz-Valencia PA, Bougnères P, Valleron AJ. Global epidemiology of type 1 diabetes in young adults and adults: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:255.
- 4. Magee MF, Bhatt BA. Management of decompensated diabetes. Diabetic ketoacidosis and hyperglycemic hyperosmolar syndrome. Crit Care Clin. 2001;17(1):75-106.
- Benoit SR, Zhang Y, Geiss LS, et al. Trends in diabetic ketoacidosis hospitalizations and in-hospital mortality United States, 2000-2014. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2018;67(12):362-5.
- 6. Virdi N, Poon Y, Abaniel R, et al. Prevalence, cost, and burden of diabetic ketoacidosis. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2023;25(S3):S75-84.
- 7. Umpierrez GE, Kitabchi AE. Diabetic ketoacidosis: risk factors and management strategies. Treat Endocrinol. 2003;2(2):95-108.
- 8. The Lancet Regional Health-Europe. Misdiagnosis of type 1 and type 2 diabetes in adults. Lancet Reg Health Eur. 2023;29:100661.
- 9. Katsarou A, Gudbjörnsdottir S, Rawshani A, et al. Type 1 diabetes mellitus. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2017;3:17016.
- 10. Thomas NJ, Lynam AL, Hill AV, et al. Type 1 diabetes defined by severe insulin deficiency occurs after 30 years of age and is commonly treated as type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia. 2019;62(7):1167-72.
- 11. Shields BM, Peters JL, Cooper C, et al. Can clinical features be used to differentiate type 1 from type 2 diabetes? A systematic review of the literature. BMJ Open. 2015;5(11):e009088.
- 12. Ahlqvist E, Storm P, Käräjämäki A, et al. Novel subgroups of adult-onset diabetes and their association with outcomes: a data-driven cluster analysis of six variables. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2018;6(5):361-9.

- National Diabetes Statistics Report 2020: Estimates of Diabetes and its Burden in the United States. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia; 2020. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pdfs/data/statistics/nationaldiabetes-statistics-report.pdf</u>.
- 14. Battelino T, Danne T, Bergenstal RM, et al. Clinical targets for continuous glucose monitoring data interpretation: recommendations from the International Consensus on Time in Range. Diabetes Care. 2019;42(8):1593-603.